#### **DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



July 19, 2002

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER (CFL) NO. 02/03-05

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR (FY) 2002/03 COUNTY SERVICES BLOCK GRANT (CSBG)

AND ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES (APS) STATE GENERAL FUND

(SGF) PLANNING ALLOCATIONS

Contingent upon approval of the State Budget, the amounts identified on the enclosed attachment are your planning allocations for CSBG and APS. It is anticipated that Federal, State, and County funds of \$29.7 million for CSBG and \$72.2 million for APS will be made available upon approval of the FY 2002/03 Budget Act. As in prior years, the CSBG allocation remains available to fund APS costs. However, the APS allocation cannot be used to fund CSBG costs. Counties must meet their APS Maintenance of Effort (MOE), which is based on FY 1996/97 CSBG expenditures, in order to have full access to their APS SGF allocation.

Attachment I displays the county by county distribution of the SGF planning allocations for CSBG and APS, along with the combined total of the two, and each county's MOE level. Attachment II provides you with a list of appropriate program codes to be used for reporting CSBG and APS administrative costs on the County Expense Claim.

Individual CSBG and APS program information and allocation methodologies are as follows:

## <u>CSBG</u>

The CSBG allocation provides funding for protective services and APS administrative costs on behalf of dependent adults. This allocation may also be used to fund related optional services and activities to the extent funds are available. The allocation also provides funding for Non-Medical Out-of-Home Care (NMOHC) certification costs, which were previously allocated through the Small Programs Block Grant.

The Administration has proposed a SGF amount of \$11,721,923 for CSBG Basic and NMOHC costs. The county by county share of the CSBG Basic allocation was calculated

based on each county's percent to the statewide total of their FY2001/02 CSBG SGF allocation. The county by county share of the NMOHC allocation was calculated based on each county's percent to the statewide total of their calendar year 2001 NMOHC expenditures.

Please note that in expending the CSBG allocation, counties are required to provide protective services for adults in accordance with W&IC Section 13005. Therefore, the CSBG allocation should first be spent on protective services for adults and NMOHC administrative costs related to the Supplemental Security Income/State Supplemental Payment program. The second priority would be activities for other services directed at the five national goals specified in W&IC Section 13003.

## <u>APS</u>

APS provides assistance to elders and dependent adults who are functionally impaired, unable to meet their own needs, and who are victims of abuse, neglect, or exploitation. The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) will monitor caseloads and expenditures throughout the year in order to assess future funding levels.

Consistent with agreements reached between CDSS and the County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA), the methodology used to distribute the APS SGF planning allocation of \$50,178,068 is as follows:

- 65 percent is distributed based on each county's percent to the total statewide population estimate (ages 65 and older) using the most recent Department of Finance data.
- 35 percent is distributed based on each county's percent to the total statewide disabled adult population (ages 18-64) using the most recent SSI/SSP caseload data.
- Each county is guaranteed a minimum allocation of \$100,000.

Although CSBG and APS are combined for control purposes, appropriate adjustments will be made during the year-end closeout to ensure that surplus APS funds are not used to cover CSBG over-expenditures. Over-expenditures will be transferred to county share.

If you have any questions, please contact your county analyst in the County Financial Analysis Bureau at (916) 657-3806.

DOUGLAS D. PARK, Chief Financial Planning Branch

Attachment

c: CWDA

COUNTY	NMOHC*	CSBG	APS	Combined	400 405
	Allocation	Allocation (includes NMOHC)	Allocation	Allocation	APS MOE
ALAMEDA	\$21,025	\$292,209	\$2,076,939	\$2,369,148	\$1,009,917
ALPINE	\$50	\$7,403	\$100,000	\$107,403	\$1,908
AMADOR	\$50	\$6,316	\$100,000	\$106,316	\$5,316
BUTTE	\$3,114	\$85,782	\$494,293	\$580,075	\$25,666
CALAVERAS	\$50	\$5,819	\$100,000	\$105,819	\$3,998
COLUSA	\$50	\$5,481	\$100,000	\$105,481	\$2,724
CONTRA COSTA	\$860	\$203,609	\$1,326,908	\$1,530,517	\$581,576
DEL NORTE	\$523	\$11,427	\$100,000	\$111,427	\$7,353
EL DORADO	\$1,669	\$29,614	\$228,372	\$257,986	\$15,026
FRESNO GLENN	\$24,152	\$514,938	\$1,331,293	\$1,846,231	\$247,503
HUMBOLDT	\$50 \$50	\$8,339 \$27,894	\$100,000 \$205,470	\$108,339 \$222,254	\$3,754
IMPERIAL	\$698	\$37,884 \$28,970	\$295,470 \$232,018	\$333,354 \$260,988	\$26,022 \$18,257
INYO	\$50	\$4,791	\$100,000	\$200,988 \$104,791	\$1,209
KERN	\$8,441	\$172,218	\$1,053,618	\$1,225,836	\$98,122
KINGS	\$50	\$27,756	\$151,878	\$179,634	\$16,199
LAKE	\$14,084	\$21,459	\$181,475	\$202,934	\$5,322
LASSEN	\$50	\$7,037	\$100,000	\$107,037	\$2,234
LOS ANGELES	\$622,186	\$3,760,089	\$13,133,949	\$16,894,038	\$1,525,815
MADERA	\$50	\$19,163	\$187,748	\$206,911	\$14,481
MARIN	\$1,078	\$84,059	\$368,615	\$452,674	\$75,175
MARIPOSA	\$50	\$3,163	\$100,000	\$103,163	\$26,564
MENDOCINO	\$569	\$18,401	\$189,083	\$207,484	\$86,399
MERCED	\$5,037	\$64,531	\$339,414	\$403,945	\$57,155
MODOC MONO	\$50 \$50	\$3,079 \$11,473	\$100,000 \$100,000	\$103,079 \$111,473	\$2,798 \$864
MONTEREY	\$1,229	\$11,473 \$91,744	\$495,074	\$586,818	\$101,604
NAPA	\$2,955	\$52,351	\$207,317	\$259,668	\$206,057
NEVADA	\$5,743	\$41,040	\$179,362	\$220,402	\$29,210
ORANGE	\$116,775	\$739,432	\$3,257,446	\$3,996,878	\$418,108
PLACER	\$2,657	\$56,636	\$376,246	\$432,882	\$134,069
PLUMAS	\$50	\$3,951	\$100,000	\$103,951	\$832
RIVERSIDE	\$47,884	\$390,130	\$2,465,986	\$2,856,116	\$198,500
SACRAMENTO	\$50	\$653,451	\$2,213,755	\$2,867,206	\$1,850,668
SAN BENITO	\$50	\$6,632	\$100,000	\$106,632	\$3,394
SAN BERNARDINO	\$7,178	\$320,866	\$2,297,016	\$2,617,882	\$223,897
SAN DIEGO	\$183,051	\$1,176,637	\$4,040,152	\$5,216,789	\$591,497
SAN FRANCISCO SAN JOAQUIN	\$4,974 \$3,633	\$645,704 \$145,226	\$1,537,155 \$1,006,873	\$2,182,859 \$1,152,000	\$235,523 \$68,005
SAN LUIS OBISPO	\$5,033 \$5,730	\$145,226 \$104,926	\$1,006,873 \$431,695	\$1,152,099 \$536,621	\$68,465
SAN MATEO	\$50	\$372,456	\$935,948	\$1,308,404	\$248,503
SANTA BARBARA	\$50	\$99,974	\$623,690	\$723,664	\$123,861
SANTA CLARA	\$83,764	\$470,598	\$1,922,976	\$2,393,574	\$1,915,826
SANTA CRUZ	\$50	\$53,085	\$329,883	\$382,968	\$56,648
SHASTA	\$143	\$100,278	\$416,397	\$516,675	\$131,271
SIERRA	\$285	\$3,803	\$100,000	\$103,803	\$989
SISKIYOU	\$50	\$12,069	\$122,396	\$134,465	\$8,053
SOLANO	\$18,797	\$106,368	\$504,534	\$610,902	\$82,694
SONOMA	\$50	\$87,742	\$707,374	\$795,116	\$72,778
STANISLAUS	\$8,664	\$89,418	\$764,176	\$853,594	\$62,647
SUTTER	\$2,538 *04	\$26,468 \$12,780	\$141,484 \$124,220	\$167,952 \$147,110	\$13,354
TEHAMA TRINITY	\$94 \$50	\$12,780 \$14,848	\$134,330 \$100,000	\$147,110 \$114,848	\$7,713 \$7,510
TULARE	\$5,294	\$14,848 \$145,240	\$579,506	\$114,646 \$724,746	\$7,510 \$90,264
TUOLUMNE	\$3,294 \$207	\$145,240 \$14,176	\$125,715	\$139,891	\$10,241
VENTURA	\$5,406	\$162,245	\$909,497	\$1,071,742	\$74,181
YOLO	\$9,824	\$69,702	\$226,961	\$296,663	\$26,555
YUBA	\$562	\$16,938	\$134,051	\$150,989	\$11,899
TOTAL	\$1,221,923	\$11,721,923	\$50,178,068	\$61,899,991	\$10,936,173

<sup>\*</sup>For display purposes only

# FY 2002/03 CSBG & APS PROGRAM CODES

The state share of administrative costs reported on the County Expense Claim are charged to the following Program Codes:

### **CSBG**

- 113 CSBG SPMP
- 114 CSBG Health Related
- 115 CSBG
- 135 SSI/SSP Out-of-Home Care

## <u>APS</u>

- 569 APS Response
- 570 APS Case Management
- 571 APS SPMP Response
- 572 APS SPMP Case Management
- 573 APS HR Response
- 574 APS HR Case Management

On a quarterly basis, State Use Only (SUO) Codes 589 and 597 will be used to insure that each county meets their individual MOE obligation by moving the county share of costs associated with the above Program Codes from county share to state share and moving one-fourth of the county's annual MOE from state share back to county share. Over-expenditures will be transferred to county share using SUO code 656